WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
V

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Elmer Wilfredo Velasquez-Candia		Case Number: <u>13-01304M-001</u>	
and was repre	resented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance of dant pending trial in this case.	ntion hearing was held on March 18, 2013. Defendant was present of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention	
I find by a pre	eponderance of the evidence that:	S OF FACT	
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United State	es or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imprisonment.	
The Cat the time of	Court incorporates by reference the material findings f the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the re	of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court cord.	
		ONS OF LAW	
1.	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.		
2.	No condition or combination of conditions will rea	asonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
		RDING DETENTION	
a corrections to appeal. The coordinates of the United States	s facility separate, to the extent practicable, from perso defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity	by General or his/her designated representative for confinement in ons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending or for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court ent, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the pearance in connection with a court proceeding.	

## APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: <u>March 18, 2013</u>

United States Magistrate Judge